

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Fatent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
PO BOX 150
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/549,541		09/15/2005	Catherine Lamy	FR 030031	7647	
24737	7590	10/04/2006	•	EXAM	EXAMINER	
		ECTUAL PROPERT	LAMARR	LAMARRE, GUY J		
P.O. BOX 3 BRIARCLII		OR, NY 10510	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		•		2133 .		

DATE MAILED: 10/04/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/549,541	LAMY, CATHERINE				
Office Action Sur	mmary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Guy J. Lamarre	2133				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status			·				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.3) ☐ Since this application is	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>15 September 2005</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)) is/are withdraw owed. ed. jected to.						
Application Papers							
Applicant may not request Replacement drawing shee	5 <u>September 2005</u> is/a that any objection to the at(s) including the correct	r. are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob- taminer. Note the attached Office	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). Djected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-89 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drav 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	ving Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other: .					

Application/Control Number: 10/549,541 Page 1 of 3

Art Unit: 2133

DETAILED ACTION

* Pursuant to 35 USC 131, Claims 1-3 are presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

0. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

0.1 Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming a mathematical formula or algorithm. Applicant is advised to modify limitations of said claims as being incorporated or embedded in hardware or readable machine medium.

Double Patenting (non-statutory)

1. The non-statutory double patenting rejection, whether of the obviousness-type or non-obviousness-type, is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent. In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438,164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); In re Van Omum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985) and In re Goodman, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 3 7 CFR 1. 3 2 1 (b) and may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a non-statutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.78(d).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

- 1.1 Instant claimed invention is not patentably distinct from patented claimed invention of copending applications: 10/531969, 10/548250, 10/550352 (US PGPub Nos. 20060015796, 20060101318, 20060200706) although the conflicting claims are not identical.
- 1.1.1 For example: every element of instant Claim(s) 2 is similar to element of claim(s) 2 of

Art Unit: 2133

F--- 131

the copending application 10/548250 (US PGPub No. 20060101318) and as such is (are) anticipated by claim(s) 2 of the copending application.

- 1.1.2 "A later patent claim is not patentably distinct from an earlier patent claim if the later claim is obvious over, or anticipated by, the earlier claim. In re Longi, 759 F.2d at 896, 225 USPQ at 651 (affirming a holding of obviousness-type double patenting because the claims at issue were obvious over claims in four prior art patents); In re Berg, 140 F.3d at 1437, 46 USPQ2d at 1233 (Fed. Cir. 1998) (affirming a holding of obviousness-type double patenting where a patent application claim to a genus is anticipated by a patent claim to a species within that genus). " ELI LILLY AND COMPANY v BARR LABORATORIES, INC., United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, ON PETITION FOR REHEARING EN BANC (DECIDED: May 30, 2001).
- 1.2 This is a provisional obviousness-type double patenting.

Drawings

2. The Drawings are objected to because Figures referred to as conventional in the specification at pages 13-14, have not been labeled as prior art. Appropriate correction to drawings shall be made in response to current Office action.

CONCLUSION

* Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to: (571) 273-8300 for all formal communications.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Guy J. Lamarre, P.E., whose telephone number is (571) 272-3826. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 9:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Albert De Cady, can be reached at (571) 272-3819.

Application/Control Number: 10/549,541 Page 3 of 3

Art Unit: 2133

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-3609.

Information regarding the status of an application may also be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Guy J. Lamarre, P.E Primary Examiner 9/29/2006